

ORF522 – Linear and Nonlinear Optimization

12. Introduction to nonlinear optimization

Ed Forum

- What is the problem we are solving in the homogeneous self-dual embedding?

$$Q^T = -Q$$

Ed Forum

- What is the problem we are solving in the homogeneous self-dual embedding?

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 0 \\ &\text{subject to} && Qu = v \\ &&& u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 0 \\ &\text{subject to} && Q\mu = \lambda \\ &&& \mu, \lambda \geq 0 \\ &&& u = (x, y, \tau) \\ &&& v = (0, s, \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

Ed Forum

- What is the problem we are solving in the homogeneous self-dual embedding?

Primal

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & 0 \\ \text{subject to} & Qu = v \\ & u, v \geq 0\end{array}$$

Dual

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & 0 \\ \text{subject to} & Q\mu = \lambda \\ & \mu, \lambda \geq 0 \\ u &= (x, y, \tau) \\ v &= (0, s, \kappa)\end{array}$$

LP strict complementarity

$$u^T \lambda = 0, \quad u + \lambda > 0$$

$$v^T \mu = 0, \quad v + \mu > 0$$

Ed Forum

- What is the problem we are solving in the homogeneous self-dual embedding?

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 0 \\ &\text{subject to} && Qu = v \\ &&& u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 0 \\ &\text{subject to} && Q\mu = \lambda \\ &&& \mu, \lambda \geq 0 \\ &&& u = (x, y, \tau) \\ &&& v = (0, s, \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

LP strict complementarity

$$\begin{aligned} u^T \lambda &= 0, & u + \lambda &> 0 \\ v^T \mu &= 0, & v + \mu &> 0 \end{aligned}$$



Self-dual

$$u = \mu, \quad v = \lambda$$

Ed Forum

- What is the problem we are solving in the homogeneous self-dual embedding?

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 0 \\ &\text{subject to} && Qu = v \\ &&& u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 0 \\ &\text{subject to} && Q\mu = \lambda \\ &&& \mu, \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x, y, \tau) \\ v &= (0, s, \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

LP strict complementarity

$$\begin{aligned} u^T \lambda &= 0, & u + \lambda &> 0 \\ v^T \mu &= 0, & v + \mu &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

→

Self-dual

$$u = \mu, \quad v = \lambda$$

→

$$u + v > 0$$

⇒

$$\begin{aligned} y + s &> 0 \\ \tau + \kappa &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Midterm information

Thursday, October 14

- Take-home (using gradescope)
- Length: can use any material from the class
- Can't communicate with anyone, can't google results
- 24 hours window to complete it
- After download, clock starts: 1.5 hours + 0.5 to submit (total 2 hours)

No office hours on midterm day

Today's lecture

[Chapter 2-4 and 6, CO] [Chapter A, B, FCA]

- Nonlinear optimization
- Examples
- Convex analysis review
- Convex optimization

What if the problem is no longer linear?

Nonlinear optimization

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m\end{array}$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{Variables}$$

$$f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \quad \text{Nonlinear objective function}$$

$$g_i : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \quad \text{Nonlinear constraints functions}$$


Feasible set

$$C = \{x \mid g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}\underline{\text{LP}} & \\ \min & c^T x \\ \text{st.} & Ax - b \leq 0 \\ & g_i(x) = (Ax - b)_i\end{array}$$

Small example

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & 0.5x_1^2 + 0.25x_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} & e^{x_1} - 2 - x_2 \leq 0 \\ & (x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2 - 3 \leq 0 \\ & x_1 \geq 0 \\ & x_2 \geq 1\end{array}$$



**Contour plot has curves
(no longer lines)**

**Feasible set is
no longer a polyhedron**

Integer optimization

It's still nonlinear optimization

minimize $f(x)$
subject to $x \in \mathbf{Z}$


Integer optimization

It's still nonlinear optimization

minimize $f(x)$
subject to $x \in \mathbf{Z}$



minimize $f(x)$
subject to $\sin(\pi x) = 0$



**We cannot solve most nonlinear
optimization problems**

Examples of (solvable) nonlinear optimization

Regression

Fit affine function $f(z) = \alpha + \beta z$ to m points (z_i, y_i)

Approximation problem $Ax \approx b$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & z_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Goal

$$\text{minimize } \|Ax - b\|$$

Regression

Fit affine function $f(z) = \alpha + \beta z$ to m points (z_i, y_i)

Approximation problem $Ax \approx b$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & z_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Goal

$$\text{minimize } \|Ax - b\|$$

1-norm or ∞ -norm \implies **linear optimization**

2-norm \implies **least-squares**


$$\|Ax - b\|_2^2 = \sum_i (f(z_i) - y_i)^2$$

Sparse regression

Regressor selection

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \|Ax - b\|_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} & \text{card}(x) \leq k \end{array}$$


|
(very hard)



Sparse regression

Regressor selection

minimize $\|Ax - b\|_2^2$
subject to $\text{card}(x) \leq k$
|
(very hard)



Add regularization to the objective

Regularized regression (ridge)

minimize $\|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \gamma \|x\|_2^2$

Regularized regression (lasso)

minimize $\|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \gamma \|x\|_1$

Lasso vs ridge regression



Regularized regression (ridge)

$$\text{minimize} \quad \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \gamma \|x\|_2^2$$

Regularized regression (lasso)

$$\text{minimize} \quad \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \gamma \|x\|_1$$

Regularization paths



Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i

p_i is the relative price change of asset i

Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i


p_i is the relative price change of asset i



Returns
 $p^T x$

Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i
 p_i is the relative price change of asset i  **Returns**
 $p^T x$

p random variable: mean μ , covariance Σ

Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i
 p_i is the relative price change of asset i \longrightarrow **Returns**
 $p^T x$

p random variable: mean μ , covariance Σ

Portfolio optimization

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && \mu^T x - \gamma x^T \Sigma x \\ &\text{subject to} && \mathbf{1}^T x = 1 \\ &&& x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i
 p_i is the relative price change of asset i \longrightarrow **Returns**
 $p^T x$

p random variable: mean μ , covariance Σ

Portfolio optimization

Expected return \longrightarrow maximize $\mu^T x - \gamma x^T \Sigma x$
subject to $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$
 $x \geq 0$

Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i → **Returns**
 p_i is the relative price change of asset i $p^T x$

p random variable: mean μ , covariance Σ

Portfolio optimization


Expected return → maximize $\mu^T x - \gamma x^T \Sigma x$ ← **Risk**
subject to $1^T x = 1$
 $x \geq 0$

Portfolio optimization

We have a total of n assets

x_i is fraction of money invested in asset i → **Returns**
 p_i is the relative price change of asset i $p^T x$

p random variable: mean μ , covariance Σ



Convex analysis review

Extended real-value functions

$$f(x) \text{ on } \text{dom } f$$

Extended-value extension

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in \text{dom } f \\ \infty & x \notin \text{dom } f \end{cases}$$

Always possible to evaluate functions

$$\text{dom } \tilde{f} = \{x \mid \tilde{f}(x) < \infty\}$$

Indicator functions

Indicator function

$$\mathcal{I}_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in C \\ \infty & x \notin C \end{cases}$$

Constrained form

minimize $f(x)$
subject to $x \in C$



Unconstrained form


minimize $f(x) + \mathcal{I}_C(x)$

Convex set

Definition

For any $x, y \in C$ and any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$



$$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in C$$



Convex

Examples



- \mathbf{R}^n
- Hyperplanes
- Hyperspheres
- Polyhedra



Not convex

Examples

- Cardinality constraint $\text{card}(x) \leq k$
- \mathbf{Z}^n
- Any disjoint set



Convex combinations

Convex combination

$\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k x_k$ for any x_1, \dots, x_k and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$



Convex combinations

Convex combination

$\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k x_k$ for any x_1, \dots, x_k and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$

Convex hull

$$\text{conv } C = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i \mid x_i \in C, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \mathbf{1}^T \alpha = 1 \right\}$$



Cones

Cone

$$x \in C \implies tx \in C \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$


Cones

Cone

$$x \in C \implies tx \in C \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

Convex cone

$$x_1, x_2 \in C \implies t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 \in C \text{ for all } t_1, t_2 \geq 0$$



Conic combinations

Conic combination

$\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k x_k$ for any x_1, \dots, x_k and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $\alpha_i \geq 0$



Conic combinations

Conic combination

$\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k x_k$ for any x_1, \dots, x_k and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $\alpha_i \geq 0$

Conic hull

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i \mid x_i \in C, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$



Cones

Examples

Nonnegative orthant


$$\mathbf{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x \geq 0\}$$

Norm-cone

$$\{(x, t) \mid \|x\| \leq t\} \quad (\text{if 2-norm, second-order cone})$$

Positive semidefinite cone

$$\mathbf{S}_+^n = \{X \in \mathbf{S}^n \mid \underline{z^T X z} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$$




Normal cone

For any set C and point $x \in C$, we define

$$\mathcal{N}_C(x) = \{g \mid g^T(y - x) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C\}$$


$\mathcal{N}_C(x)$ is **always convex**



Normal cone

For any set C and point $x \in C$, we define

$$\mathcal{N}_C(x) = \{g \mid g^T(y - x) \leq 0, \text{ for all } y \in C\}$$



$\mathcal{N}_C(x)$ is **always convex**

What if $x \in \text{int} S$?

↓

$$\mathcal{N}_C(x) = \{0\}$$

Gradient

Derivative

If $f(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ continuously differentiable, we define


$$Df(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Gradient

Derivative

If $f(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ continuously differentiable, we define

$$Df(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$



Gradient

If $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, we define

$$\nabla f(x) = \underline{Df(x)}^T$$

Example

$$f(x) = (1/2)x^T P x + q^T x$$

$$\nabla f(x) = P x + q$$

Gradient

Derivative

If $f(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ continuously differentiable, we define

$$Df(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Gradient

If $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, we define

$$\nabla f(x) = Df(x)^T$$

Example

$$f(x) = (1/2)x^T P x + q^T x$$

$$\nabla f(x) = P x + q$$

First-order approximation

$$f(y) \approx f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

(affine function of y)

Hessian

Hessian matrix (second derivative)

If $f(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ second-order differentiable, we define

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Hessian

Hessian matrix (second derivative)

If $f(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ second-order differentiable, we define

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Example

$$f(x) = (1/2)x^T P x + q^T x$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = P$$

Hessian

Hessian matrix (second derivative)

If $f(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ second-order differentiable, we define

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Example

$$f(x) = (1/2)x^T P x + q^T x$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = P$$

Second-order approximation

$$f(y) \approx f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + (1/2)(y - x)^T \nabla^2 f(x) (y - x)$$


(quadratic function of y)

Convex optimization

Convex functions

Convex function


For every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$



Convex functions

Convex function

For every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$



Concave function

f is concave if and only if $-f$ is convex


Convex conditions

First-order

Let f be a continuous differentiable function, then it is convex if and only if $\text{dom} f$ is convex and

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

for all $x, y \in \text{dom} f$




Convex conditions

First-order

Let f be a continuous differentiable function, then it is convex if and only if $\text{dom } f$ is convex and

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

for all $x, y \in \text{dom } f$



Second-order

If f is twice differentiable, then f is convex if and only if $\text{dom } f$ is convex and

Ⓟ $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$

for all $x \in \text{dom } f$

Verifying convexity

Basic definition (inequality)

First and second order conditions (gradient, hessian)

Verifying convexity

Basic definition (inequality)

First and second order conditions (gradient, hessian)



Hard!

Verifying convexity

Basic definition (inequality)

First and second order conditions (gradient, hessian)



Hard!

Convex calculus (directly construct convex functions)

- Library of basic functions that are convex/concave
- Calculus rules or transformations that preserve convexity

Verifying convexity

Basic definition (inequality)

First and second order conditions (gradient, hessian)



Hard!

Convex calculus (directly construct convex functions)

- Library of basic functions that are convex/concave
- Calculus rules or transformations that preserve convexity



Easy!

Disciplined Convex Programming

Convexity by construction

$$h(y) = \|y\|_2^2$$


General composition rule

$h(f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x))$ is convex when h is convex and for each i

- h is nondecreasing in argument i and f_i is convex, or
- h is nonincreasing in argument i and f_i is concave, or
- f_i is affine

Disciplined Convex Programming

Convexity by construction

General composition rule

$h(f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x))$ is convex when h is convex and for each i

- h is nondecreasing in argument i and f_i is convex, or
- h is nonincreasing in argument i and f_i is concave, or
- f_i is affine

**Only sufficient
condition**

Disciplined Convex Programming

Convexity by construction

General composition rule

$h(f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x))$ is convex when h is convex and for each i

- h is nondecreasing in argument i and f_i is convex, or
- h is nonincreasing in argument i and f_i is concave, or
- f_i is affine

**Only sufficient
condition**

Check your functions at <https://dcp.stanford.edu/>

More details and examples in ORF523

Convex optimization problems

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{array}$$

$f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$	<u>Convex</u> objective function
$g_i : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$	<u>Convex</u> constraints functions

Convex feasible set

$$\underline{C} = \{x \mid g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

Modelling software for convex optimization

Modelling tools simplify the formulation of convex optimization problems

- **Construct problems** using library of basic functions
- **Verify convexity** by general composition rule
- Express the problem in input format required by a specific solver

Modelling software for convex optimization

Modelling tools simplify the formulation of convex optimization problems

- **Construct problems** using library of basic functions
- **Verify convexity** by general composition rule
- Express the problem in input format required by a specific solver

Examples

- CVX, YALMIP (Matlab)
- CVXPY (Python)
- Convex.jl (Julia)
- *CuXR (R)*

Solving convex optimization problems

CVXPY

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \|Ax - b\|_2 \\ \text{subject to} & 0 \leq x \leq 1\end{array}$$

```
x = cp.Variable(n)
objective = cp.Minimize(cp.norm(A*x - b))
constraints = [0 <= x, x <= 1]
problem = cp.Problem(objective, constraints)

# The optimal objective value is returned by `problem.solve()`.
result = problem.solve()

# The optimal value for x is stored in `x.value`.
print(x.value)
```

Local vs global minima (optimizers)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & x \in C \end{array}$$

Local optimizer x

$$f(y) \geq f(x), \quad \forall y \text{ such that } \|x - y\|_2 \leq R$$




Global optimizer x

$$f(y) \geq \underbrace{f(x)}_{\text{circled in red}}, \quad \forall y \in C$$

Optimality and convexity

Theorem

For a convex optimization problem, any **local minimum** is a **global minimum**



Optimality and convexity

Proof (contradiction)

Suppose that f is convex and x is a local (not global) minimum for f , i.e.,

$$f(y) \geq f(x), \quad \forall y \text{ such that } \|x - y\|_2 \leq R. \quad //$$

Therefore, there exists a feasible z such that $\|z - x\| > R$ and $f(z) < f(x)$.

Optimality and convexity

Proof (contradiction)


Suppose that f is convex and x is a local (not global) minimum for f , i.e.,

$$f(y) \geq f(x), \quad \forall y \text{ such that } \|x - y\|_2 \leq R.$$

Therefore, there exists a feasible z such that $\|z - x\| > R$ and $f(z) < f(x)$.

Consider $y = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha z$ with $\alpha = \frac{R}{2\|z - x\|_2}$.

Then, $\|y - x\|_2 = \alpha\|z - x\|_2 = R/2 < R$, and by convexity of the feasible set, y is feasible.



Optimality and convexity

Proof (contradiction)


Suppose that f is convex and x is a local (not global) minimum for f , i.e.,

$$f(y) \geq f(x), \quad \forall y \text{ such that } \|x - y\|_2 \leq R.$$

Therefore, there exists a feasible z such that $\|z - x\| > R$ and $\underline{f(z) < f(x)}$.

Consider $y = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha z$ with $\alpha = \frac{R}{2\|z - x\|_2}$.

Then, $\|y - x\|_2 = \alpha\|z - x\|_2 = R/2 < R$, and by convexity of the feasible set, y is feasible.



By convexity of f we have $f(y) \leq (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha f(z) < \underline{f(x)}$, which contradicts the local optimum definition.

Optimality and convexity

Proof (contradiction)


Suppose that f is convex and x is a local (not global) minimum for f , i.e.,

$$f(y) \geq f(x), \quad \forall y \text{ such that } \|x - y\|_2 \leq R.$$

Therefore, there exists a feasible z such that $\|z - x\| > R$ and $f(z) < f(x)$.

Consider $y = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha z$ with $\alpha = \frac{R}{2\|z - x\|_2}$.

Then, $\|y - x\|_2 = \alpha\|z - x\|_2 = R/2 < R$, and by convexity of the feasible set, y is feasible.



By convexity of f we have $f(y) \leq (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha f(z) < f(x)$, which contradicts the local optimum definition.

Therefore, x is globally optimal.

"...in fact, the great watershed in optimization isn't between linearity and nonlinearity, but convexity and nonconvexity."

R. Tyrrell Rockafellar, in SIAM Review, 1993

Nonlinear optimization

Topics of this part of the course

Conditions to characterize minima

Algorithms to find (local) minima

(if applied to **convex problems**, they find **global minima**)

Introduction to nonlinear optimization

Today, we learned to:

- **Define** nonlinear optimization problems
- **Understand** convex analysis fundamentals (sets, cones, functions, and gradients)
- **Verify** convexity and **construct** convex optimization problems
- **Define** convex optimization problems in CVXPY
- **Understand** the importance of *convexity vs nonconvexity* in optimization

Next lecture

- Optimality conditions in nonlinear optimization